Non-asymptotic Analysis of Biased Stochastic Approximation Scheme Belhal Karimi^{1,2}, Blazej Miasojedow³, Eric Moulines² and Hoi-To Wai⁴ INRIA¹, École Polytechnique², University of Warsaw³, Chinese University of Hong Kong⁴

belhal.karimi@polytechnique.edu, bmiasojedow@gmail.com, eric.moulines@polytechnique.edu, htwai@se.cuhk.edu.hk

Stochastic Approximation

- **Objective:** Find a *stationary point* of smooth Lyapunov function V(
- SA scheme (Robbins and Monro, 1951) is a stochastic process:

 $oldsymbol{\eta}_{n+1} = oldsymbol{\eta}_n - oldsymbol{\gamma}_{n+1} H_{oldsymbol{\eta}_n}(X_{n+1}), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$

where $\eta_n \in \mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is the *n*th state, $\gamma_n > 0$ is the step size.

• The drift term $H_{\eta_n}(X_{n+1})$ depends on an i.i.d. random element X_{n+1}

$$h(\boldsymbol{\eta}_n) = \mathbb{E}[H_{\boldsymbol{\eta}_n}(X_{n+1})|\mathcal{F}_n] = \nabla V(\boldsymbol{\eta}_n),$$

where $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(\eta_0, \{X_m\}_{m \le n})$. In this case, SA is better known as the 3

Biased SA Scheme

We have $h(\eta) \neq \nabla V(\eta)$ and for some $c_0 \geq 0$, $c_1 > 0$,

$$c_0 + c_1 \langle \nabla V(\boldsymbol{\eta}) | h(\boldsymbol{\eta}) \rangle \geq \|h(\boldsymbol{\eta})\|^2, \ \forall \ \boldsymbol{\eta} \in \mathcal{H}$$

• The drift term $\{H_{\eta_n}(X_{n+1})\}_{n\geq 1}$ is not i.i.d.. For example, in reinforcer η_n controls the policy in a MDP & $H_{\eta_n}(X_{n+1})$ is computed from the N The random elements $\{X_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ form a state-dependent Markov cha

$$\mathbb{E}[H_{oldsymbol{\eta}_n}(X_{n+1})|\mathcal{F}_n] = P_{oldsymbol{\eta}_n}H_{oldsymbol{\eta}_n}(X_n) = \int H_{oldsymbol{\eta}_n}(X)P_{oldsymbol{\eta}_n}(X_n,\mathsf{d}X_n)$$

where $P_{\boldsymbol{\eta}_n}: X \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is Markov kernel with a unique stationary di

- In the latter case, the mean field is given by $h(\eta) = \int H_{\eta}(x) \pi_{\eta}(dx)$.
- Stopping criterion: fix any $n \ge 1$, we stop the SA at a random iteration

$$\mathbb{P}(N = \ell) = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} \gamma_{k+1}\right)^{-1} \gamma_{\ell+1}, \text{ with } N \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$$

Prior Work

• We focus on the non-asymptotic convergence analysis of SA scher relevant results are rare. Define:

$$e_{n+1} := H_{\boldsymbol{\eta}_n}(X_{n+1}) - h(\boldsymbol{\eta}_n)$$

Case 1: When $\{e_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ is Martingale difference — $\mathbb{E}[e_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = 0$

• Asymptotic analysis: (Robbins and Monro, 1951); Non-asymptotic and and Lan, 2013).

Case 2: When $\{e_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ is state-controlled Markov noise

$$\mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{e}_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = P_{\boldsymbol{\eta}_n}H_{\boldsymbol{\eta}_n}(X_n) - h(\boldsymbol{\eta}_n) \neq 0.$$

 Asymptotic analysis: (Tadić and Doucet, 2017); Non-asymptotic anal 2018), (Duchi et al., 2012), (Bhandari et al., 2018)

Analysis For Martingale Difference Noise (Case 1)

Assumption: $\mathbb{E}[e_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = 0$, $\mathbb{E}[\|e_{n+1}\|^2 | \mathcal{F}_n] \leq \sigma_0^2 + \sigma_1^2 \|h(\eta_n)\|^2$. (e. *i.i.d.* similar to the SGD setting).

Theorem 1. Let $\gamma_{n+1} \leq (2c_1L(1+\sigma_1^2))^{-1}$ and $V_{0,n} := \mathbb{E}[V(\eta_0) - V(\eta_{n+1})]$

$$\mathbb{E}[\|h(\boldsymbol{\eta}_N)\|^2] \leq rac{2c_1(V_{0,n} + \sigma_0^2 L \sum_{k=0}^n \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{k+1}^2)}{\sum_{k=0}^n \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{k+1}} + 2c_0$$
 ,

Set $\gamma_k = (2c_1L(1+\sigma_1^2)\sqrt{k})^{-1} \Longrightarrow \mathbb{E}[\|h(\eta_N)\|^2] = \mathcal{O}(c_0 + \log n/\sqrt{n})$. Rem $\nabla V(\eta)$ (with $c_0 = d_0 = 0$), it recovers (Ghadimi and Lan, 2013, Theorem

(
$$\eta$$
).
(1)
(1)
1 and
SGD method.
Ute...
ment learning,
MDP's state.
ain:
 \times),
distribution π_{η_n} .
distribution π_{η_n} .
ion N with
 n }.
me, where the
(2)
alysis: (Ghadimi
lysis: (Sun et al.,
 $n x^n is$
 $n x^n if h(\eta) =$
 $n 2.1$).

Analysis For State-dependent Markov Noise (Case 2)

Assumptions: we need a few regularity conditions in this case, 1. There exists a Borel measurable function $\hat{H} : \mathcal{H} \times X \to \mathcal{H}$,

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\eta}(x) - \mathcal{P}_{\eta}\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\eta}(x) = \mathcal{H}_{\eta}(x) - h(\eta)$$

 \implies existence of solution to the *Poisson equation*. 2. For all $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$ and $x \in X$, $\|\hat{H}_{\eta}(x)\| \leq L_{PH}^{(0)}, \|P_{\eta}\hat{H}_{\eta}(x)\| \leq L_{PH}^{(0)}, \text{ and } \|P_{\eta}\hat{H}_{\eta}(x)\| \leq L_{PH}^{(0)}$

 $\sup_{x \in \mathbf{X}} \|P_{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \hat{H}_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(x) - P_{\boldsymbol{\eta}'} \hat{H}_{\boldsymbol{\eta}'}(x)\| \leq L_{PH}^{(1)} \|\boldsymbol{\eta} - \boldsymbol{\eta}'\|, \ \forall \ (\boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\eta}') \in \mathcal{H}^2.$

 \implies smoothness of $\hat{H}_{\eta}(x)$, satisfied if P_{η} , $H_{\eta}(X)$ are smooth w.r.t. η . 3. It holds that $\sup_{\eta \in \mathcal{H}, x \in X} \|H_{\eta}(x) - h(\eta)\| \leq \sigma$.

 \implies requires the noise is *uniformly bounded* for all $x \in X$. **Example:** assumptions 1 & 2 are satisfied if the Markov kernel P_{n_n} is geometrically ergodic + smooth, and the drift term is smooth w.r.t. η_{-}

Theorem 2. Suppose that the step sizes are decreasing and $\gamma_1 \leq 0.5(c_1(L+C_h))^{-1}$ (+other conditions). Let $V_{0,n} := \mathbb{E}[V(\eta_0) - V(\eta_{n+1})]$,

 $\mathbb{E}[\|h(\boldsymbol{\eta}_{N})\|^{2}] \leq \frac{2c_{1}(V_{0,n} + C_{0,n} + (\sigma^{2}L + C_{N}))}{\sum_{k=0}^{n} \gamma_{k+1}}$

- $\mathbb{E}[\langle \nabla V(\eta_n) | e_{n+1} \rangle]$ w/ Poisson equation + a novel decomposition (cf. Lemma 2).
- Set $\gamma_k = (2c_1L(1+C_h)\sqrt{k})^{-1} \Longrightarrow \mathbb{E}[\|h(\eta_N)\|^2] = \mathcal{O}(c_0 + \log n/\sqrt{n})$ (same as Case 1). • **Proof idea:** challenge is that e_{n+1} is not zero-mean \implies bound the sum of

Regularized Online EM Algorithm

• Special Case of GMM: we fit the data $\{Y_n\}_{n>1}$, $Y_n \sim \pi$ into the parametric model with $oldsymbol{ heta}=(\{\omega_m\}_{m=1}^{M-1},\{\mu_m\}_{m=1}^M)$

$$g(y; \boldsymbol{\theta}) \propto \left(1 - \sum_{m=1}^{M-1} \omega_m\right) \exp\left(-\frac{(y-\mu_M)^2}{2}\right) + \sum_{m=1}^{M-1} \omega_m \exp\left(-\frac{(y-\mu_m)^2}{2}\right)$$

• Data arrives in a streaming fashion, Cappé and Moulines (2009) does:

E-step:
$$\hat{m{s}}_{n+1} = \hat{m{s}}_n + m{\gamma}_{n+1}ig\{\overline{m{s}}(\mathbf{x}_n) \ m{s}_{n+1} = \overline{m{ heta}}(\hat{m{s}}_{n+1}).$$

• The **E-step** is a biased SA step on *s* with the drift term & mean field

$$H_{\hat{s}_n}(Y_{n+1}) = \hat{s}_n - \overline{s}(Y_{n+1}; \overline{\theta}(\hat{s}_n)), \quad h(\hat{s}_n) = \hat{s}_n - \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[\overline{s}(Y_{n+1}; \overline{\theta}(\hat{s}_n))]$$

Analysis of the ro-EM Algorithm (Application of Case 1)

Consider the KL divergence as a function of sufficient statistics s:

 $V(s) := \mathsf{KL}(\pi|g(\cdot;\overline{\theta}(s))) + \mathsf{R}(\overline{\theta}(s)) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi}\big[\log\big(\pi(Y)/g(Y;\overline{\theta}(s))\big)\big] + \mathsf{R}(\overline{\theta}(s)).$

Corollary 1. Set $\gamma_k = (2c_1L(1 + \sigma_1^2)\sqrt{k})^{-1}$. Ro-EM method for GMM finds \hat{s}_N such that

The expectation is taken w.r.t. N and the observation law π .

- First explicit non-asymptotic rate given for online EM method.
- Consider a slightly modified/regularized M-step update for satisfaction of the technical conditions.

-), $\forall \boldsymbol{\eta} \in \mathcal{H}, x \in X$.

$$\left(\frac{C_{\gamma}}{2}\right)\sum_{k=0}^{n}\gamma_{k+1}^{2}+2c_{0}$$
 .

- $ar{s}(Y_{n+1}; \hat{oldsymbol{ heta}}_n) \hat{oldsymbol{s}}_n ig\}$,

 $\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla V(\hat{\boldsymbol{s}}_N)\|^2] = \mathcal{O}(\log n/\sqrt{n})$





(Online) Policy Gradient Method

- Consider a Markov Decision Process (MDP) (S, A, R, P): -S, A is the finite set of state/action. - R : S \times A \rightarrow [0, R_{max}] is a reward function; P is the transition model.
- A **policy** is parameterized by $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ as (e.g., soft-max):

• Update η in an online fashion (Tadić and Doucet, 2017) using observed stateaction pair:

$$G_{n+1} =$$

- where $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ is a parameter for the variance-bias trade-off.
- The η -update is an biased SA step with the drift term:

$$H_{oldsymbol{\eta}_n}(\lambda$$

Analysis of Policy Gradient Method (Application of Case 2)

rithm (3) finds a policy that

- It shows the *first convergence rate* for the online PG method. • Our result shows the *variance-bias trade-off* with $\lambda \in (0, 1)$.
- Setting $\lambda \rightarrow 1$ reduces the bias, but decreases the convergence speed.

Conclusion

- Theorem 1 & 2 show the non-asymptotic convergence rate of biased SA scheme with smooth (possibly non-convex) Lyapunov function.
- With appropriate step size, in n iterations the SA scheme finds $\mathbb{E}[\|h(\eta_N)\|^2] =$ $\mathcal{O}(c_0 + \log n/\sqrt{n})$, where c_0 is the bias and $h(\cdot)$ is the mean field.
- Applications to online EM and online policy gradient.

References

Journal on Optimization, 22(4):1549–1578, 2012.

programming. SIAM Journal on Optimization, 23(4):2341–2368, 2013.

Statistics, 22(3):400-407, 1951.

Applied Probability, 27(6):3255-3304, 2017.





- $\Pi_{\eta}(a'; s') = \text{probability of taking action } a' \text{ in state } s'$
 - $\lambda G_n + \nabla \log \prod_{n} (A_{n+1}; S_{n+1})$, $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{n+1} = \boldsymbol{\eta}_n + \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{n+1} G_{n+1} \operatorname{\mathsf{R}}(S_{n+1}, A_{n+1})$
 - $X_{n+1}) = G_{n+1} \operatorname{R}(S_{n+1}, A_{n+1})$
- Let $v_{\eta}(s, a)$ be the invariant distribution of $\{(S_t, A_t)\}_{t>1}$, we consider:
 - $J(\boldsymbol{\eta}) := \sum_{s \in S, a \in A} v_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(s, a) \mathsf{R}(s, a)$
- **Corollary 2.** Set $\gamma_k = (2c_1L(1+C_h)\sqrt{k})^{-1}$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the policy gradient algo-

$\mathbb{E}\left[\|\nabla J(\boldsymbol{\eta}_N)\|^2\right] = \mathcal{O}\left((1-\lambda)^2\Gamma^2 + c(\lambda)\log n/\sqrt{n}\right),$

where $c(\lambda) = O(\frac{1}{1-\lambda})$. Expectation is taken w.r.t. N and (A_n, S_n) .

- Jalaj Bhandari, Daniel Russo, and Raghav Singal. A finite time analysis of temporal difference learning with linear function approximation. In *Conference On Learning Theory*, pages 1691–1692, 2018.
- Olivier Cappé and Eric Moulines. On-line Expectation Maximization algorithm for latent data models. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology), 71(3):593–613, 2009.
- John C Duchi, Alekh Agarwal, Mikael Johansson, and Michael I Jordan. Ergodic mirror descent. SIAM
- Saeed Ghadimi and Guanghui Lan. Stochastic first-and zeroth-order methods for nonconvex stochastic
- Herbert Robbins and Sutton Monro. A stochastic approximation method. *The Annals of Mathematical*
- Tao Sun, Yuejiao Sun, and Wotao Yin. On Markov chain gradient descent. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 31, pages 9918–9927. Curran Associates, Inc., 2018.
- Vladislav B Tadić and Arnaud Doucet. Asymptotic bias of stochastic gradient search. The Annals of